DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE



CSCI-564 CONSTRAINT PROCESSING AND HEURISTIC SEARCH

LECTURE 13 – STATE SPACE PRUNING (CONTINUED)

Dr. Jean-Alexis Delamer



Recap

- Pruning is a technique to ignore parts of the search tree (and thus reduce the branching factor) to save runtime and memory.
- Pruning requires runtime and memory. We need to ensure that the costs are outweighed by the corresponding savings.
- Pruning exploit the expert knowledge of the domain.
 - Regularities.
- The pruning can be static or dynamic.



State Space Pruning

StFX

- Static and dynamic pruning give optimal solutions.
- The pruning algorithm needs to verify before pruning that the branch is not leading to an optimal solution.
- In large state space, pruning techniques does not reduce the time complexity enough.

What can we do?



Nonadmissible State Space Pruning

- We can sacrifice the optimality of the solution.
 - Sometimes a good, but quick solution is better.
- Example (GPS).

- On small distances, you can calculate the optimal solution very fast.
- But calculating the optimal path between Antigonish and San Francisco can be very long.
- However, you only want a good solution not the optimal.
 - What is 1 hour on a 3-day travel.





Nonadmissible State Space Pruning

- The pruning technique that sacrifice the optimality are nonadmissible.
- We will see two techniques:
 - Macro problem solving
 - Relevance cut





- The idea is to group a sequence of actions into a new action.
 - Ex: 4x turn 90° can be grouped into turn 360°.
- The problem solver (algorithm) can apply multiple primitive operators at once.
- Where is the pruning?
 - Requires fewer decisions.
 - Choices inside a branch are ignored.





- Is there a catch?
 - If the substitutions operators are too generous (grouping to many primitive operators) the goal might not be found.
- We need to ensure that the goal is still reachable.



- Definition (Macro Operator):
 - A macro operator (macro) is a fixed sequence of elementary operators executed together.
 - For a problem graph with node set V and an edge set E.
 - A macro refers to an additional edge e = (u, v) in $V \times V$ for which there are edges $e_1 = (u_1, v_1), \dots, e_k = (u_k, v_k) \in E$ with $u = u_1, v = v_k$ and $v_i = u_{i+1}$ for all $1 \le i \le k 1$.
- In other words, the path (u₁, ..., u_k, v_k) between u and v is shortcut by introducing e.



- Macros turn an unweighted graph into a weighted graph.
- Why?

- Macros can have different lengths.
- We need to know the weight of a macro to find the best solution.
- The weight of the macro is the accumulated weight of the original edges:
 - $w(u,v) = \sum_{i=1}^k w(u_i,v_i)$



- Inserting edges does not affect the reachability status of nodes.
- If there is no alternative in the choice of successors.
 - $Succ(u_i) = \{v_i\}$
 - Macros can substitute the original edges without loss of information.
- Example:

StFX

• Maze areas with width of one (tunnel).



- If there are more paths between a node?
- To preserve the optimality of an underlying search algorithm.
 - We take the shortest path $w(u, v) = \delta(u, v)$.
- These macros are called admissible.



- How can we create the macros?
 - The All-Pairs Shortest Paths algorithm of Floyd-Warshall is one way.
 - At the end of the algorithm, all two nodes are connected.
 - The original edges are no longer needed to determine the shortest path.
 - It keeps the optimality of the search.
- So we can find the optimal solution with macros?



• True, for small problems.

- For larger problems computing All-Pairs Shortest Paths is infeasible.
- If we accept feasible solutions:
 - We can use inadmissible macros.
 - Delete edges after some admissible macros have been introduced.
- The importance of macros is that they can be determined before the search.
 - It's called Macro learning.

- How to use inadmissible macros:
 - Inserting them with a weight w(u, v) smaller than the optimum $\delta(u, v)$.
 - The macros will be used with higher priority.
 - Or we can restrict the search to macros only.
 - Only possible if the goal stay reachable.
- Creating inadmissible macros depends on the problem.



• Example:

- We decompose the problem in subgoals.
- For each subgoals a set of macros is defined that transform a state into the next subgoal.

StFX

- Actions are labeled by the direction in which the blank is moving.
- We create a table:
 - The entry in row r and in the column c contains a macro.
 - The macro is the sequence to position the tile in position r to the position c.
 - After execution the tiles in position 1 to r 1 remain correctly placed.

6	1	3
8	4	7
2	5	

Starting state



Goal state



	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
0							
1	DR						
2	D	LURD					
3	DL	URDL LURD	URDL				
4	L	RULD LURD	RULD	LURRD LULDR			
5	UL	DRUL DLUR ULDR	RDLU RULD	RULD RDLU URDL	RDLU		
6	U	DLUR ULDR	DRUULD	DLUU RDRU LLDR	DRUL	LURRD DLURU LLDR	
7	UR	LDRU ULDR	ULDDR ULURD	LDRUL URDRU LLDR	DLUR DRUL	DRULDL URRDLU	DLUR
8	R	ULDR	LDRR UULD	LURDR ULLDR	LDRRUL	DRUL LDRU RDLU	LDRU

6	1	3		6	1	3		6	1	3
8	4	7		8	4			8		4
2	5		U	2	5	7	L	2	5	7

c=0, r=5





	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
0							
1	DR						
2	D	LURD					
3	DL	URDL LURD	URDL				
4	L	RULD LURD	RULD	LURRD LULDR			
5	UL	DRUL DLUR ULDR	RDLU RULD	RULD RDLU URDL	RDLU		
6	U	DLUR ULDR	DRUULD	DLUU RDRU LLDR	DRUL	LURRD DLURU LLDR	
7	UR	LDRU ULDR	ULDDR ULURD	LDRUL URDRU LLDR	DLUR DRUL	DRULDL URRDLU	DLUR
8	R	ULDR	LDRR UULD	LURDR ULLDR	LDRRUL	DRUL LDRU RDLU	LDRU

												_			
												1	8	3	
Th	The tiles in the correct position didn't move.												6		4
											2	5	7		
											D	1			
6	6 1 3 1 3														
8		4			8	4	\rightarrow	6	8	4		•	6	8	4
2	5	7	L	2	5	7	U	2	5	7	R		2	5	7
C =:	1, r=	2													
												1	2	3	
	Do you see a pattern?										8		4		
		- 1									1				



5

6

7



	0	- 1	2	3	4	5	6	
0	v		2	J	-	J	U	
1	DR							
2	D	LURD						
3	DL	URDL LURD	URDL					
4	L	RULD LURD	RULD	LURRD LULDR				
5	UL	DRUL DLUR ULDR	RDLU RULD	RULD RDLU URDL	RDLU			
6	U	DLUR		DIUU	DRUI	LURRD		
		ULDR		RDRU LLDR		DLURU		
7	UR	LDRU ULDR	ULDDR ULURD	LDRUL URDRU LLDR	DLUR DRUL	DRULDL URRDLU	DLUR	
8	R	ULDR	LDRR	LURDR	LDRRUL	DRUL	LDRU	
			UULD	ULLDR		LDRU RDLU		

										_			
8	3		1		3			1	3		6	1	3
	4	→ ↓↓	6	8	4		6	8	4			8	4
5	7	0	2	5	7	L	2	5	7	U	2	5	7
2, r=	=7			-								D	
1	3		6	1	3		6	1	3		6	1	3
2	4	L	2		4	U	2	8	4	R	2	8	4
8	7		5	8	7		5		7			5	7



	1	2	3
	6		4
D	5	8	7





	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
0							
1	DR						
2	D	LURD					
3	DL	URDL LURD	URDL				
4	L	RULD LURD	RULD	LURRD LULDR			
5	UL	DRUL DLUR ULDR	RDLU RULD	RULD RDLU URDL	RDLU		
6	U	DLUR ULDR	DRUULD	DLUU RDRU LLDR	DRUL	LURRD DLURU LLDR	
7	UR	LDRU ULDR	ULDDR ULURD	LDRUL URDRU LLDR	DLUR DRUL	DRULDL URRDLU	DLUR
8	R	ULDR	LDRR UULD	LURDR ULLDR	LDRRUL	DRUL LDRU RDLU	LDRU

1	2	3
6		4
5	8	7

The tiles 3 and 4 are in the correct positions. We can skip it.





	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
0	6						
1	DR						
2	D	LURD					
3	DL	URDL LURD	URDL				
4	L	RULD LURD	RULD	LURRD LULDR			
5	UL	DRUL DLUR ULDR	RDLU RULD	RULD RDLU URDL	RDLU		
6	U	DLUR ULDR	DRUULD	DLUU RDRU LLDR	DRUL	LURRD DLURU LLDR	
7	UR	LDRU ULDR	ULDDR ULURD	LDRUL URDRU LLDR	DLUR DRUL	DRULDL URRDLU	DLUR
8	R	ULDR	LDRR UULD	LURDR ULLDR	LDRRUL	DRUL LDRU RDLU	LDRU

1	2	3		1	2	3
6		4		7		4
5	8	7	URRDLU	6	8	5

c=5, r=7

The tiles in the correct positions don't move.





	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
0							
1	DR						
2	D	LURD					
3	DL	URDL LURD	URDL				
4	L	RULD LURD	RULD	LURRD LULDR			
5	UL	DRUL DLUR ULDR	RDLU RULD	RULD RDLU URDL	RDLU		
6	U	DLUR ULDR	DRUULD	DLUU RDRU LLDR	DRUL	LURRD DLURU LLDR	
7	UR	LDRU ULDR	ULDDR ULURD	LDRUL URDRU LLDR	DLUR DRUL	DRULDL URRDLU	DLUR
8	R	ULDR	LDRR UULD	LURDR ULLDR	LDRRUL	DRUL LDRU RDLU	LDRU



c=6, r=7

1	2	3
8		4
7	6	5

It's done.

Was it optimal?



 In the worst-case scenario: 		0	1
 We sum the string size maxima in the columns. 	0 1	DR	
 2+12+10+14+8+14+4=64 	2	D	LUR
 The average solution length: 	3	DL	URD LUR
 We calculate the arithmetic means. 	4	L	RUL LUR
 12/9+52/8+40/7+58/6+22/5+38/4+8/3=39.78 	5	UL	DRU DLU
• Considering that you're using the macro table!			ULD
	6	U	DLU ULD
	7	UR	LDR

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
0							
1	DR						
2	D	LURD					
3	DL	URDL LURD	URDL				
4	L	RULD LURD	RULD	LURRD LULDR			
5	UL	DRUL DLUR ULDR	RDLU RULD	RULD RDLU URDL	RDLU		
6	U	DLUR ULDR	DRUULD	DLUU RDRU LLDR	DRUL	LURRD DLURU LLDR	
7	UR	LDRU ULDR	ULDDR ULURD	LDRUL URDRU LLDR	DLUR DRUL	DRULDL URRDLU	DLUR
8	R	ULDR	LDRR UULD	LURDR ULLDR	LDRRUL	DRUL LDRU RDLU	LDRU





- How can we construct a macro table?
 - The most efficient way is using DFS or BFS.
 - Starting from each goal state to every other states.
- Depending on the problem the search effort can be important.



- Humans can navigate through large state spaces due to an ability to use metalevel reasoning.
- Meta-level strategy (reasoning) distinguish between relevant and irrelevant actions.
 - Divide a problem into several subgoals, then solve the subgoals one after the other.
- Standard search algorithm like A* always consider all possible moves available.





• Example:

- In mirror-symmetrical Sokoban.
- It is obvious that each half can be solved independently.
- Algorithm like A* will explore strategy that humans would never consider.
 - Switching back and forth between the two subproblems.







- Relevance cuts:
 - Attempt to restrict the way the algorithm chooses the next action.
 - The idea is to prevent the program from trying all possible move sequences.
 - It introduces the notion of influence.
- Moves that don't influence each other are called distant moves.



- A move can be cut off:
 - If within the last *m* moves more than *k* distant moves were made.
 - This cut will discourage arbitrary switches between non-related areas of the maze.
 - Or a move that is distant with respect to the previous move, but not distant to a move in the past *m* moves.
 - This will not allow switches back into an area previously worked on and abandoned just briefly.



- The definition of distant moves depends on the problem domain.
- For the Sokoban:
 - Create a measure for influence.
 - Compute a table for the influence of each square on each other.
 - The influence relation reflects the number of paths between the squares.
 - The more alternatives exists, the less influence.



• In this example:

- *a* and *b* influence each other less than *c* and *d*.
 - Squares on the optimal place should have a stronger influence than others.
- *a* influences *c* more than *c* influences *a*.
 - Neighboring squares that are connected by a possible ball push are more influencing than if only the man can move between them







• Given an influence table, a move M2 is regarded as distant from a previous move M1, if its from-square influences M1's from-square by less than some threshold, θ .





Nonadmissible State Space Pruning

- Macro problem solving prunes actions in favor of a few action sequences (called macros), which
 not only decreases the branching factor but also the search depth.
 - We applied it on the Eight-Puzzle where the macros bring one tile after the other into place without disturbing the tiles in the correct position.
- Relevance cuts prune actions in a state that are considered unimportant because they do not contribute to the subgoal currently pursued.
 - Actions that do not influence each other are called distant actions.
 - Relevance cuts can prune an action if more than a certain number of distant actions have been executed recently
 - We used Sokoban to illustrate relevance cuts.

